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**About Queenslanders with Disability Network (QDN)**

Queenslanders with Disability Network (QDN) is an organisation of, for, and with people with disability. The organisation’s motto is “nothing about us without us”. QDN operates a state-wide network of over 2,000 members and supporters who provide information, feedback, and views from a consumer perspective to inform systemic disability policy and disability advocacy. This submission is informed by the lived experience of our members, all of whom have disability, which includes an online women’s network of 53 members from around the State.

### **QDN value statement**

QDN believes that:

* All people with disability have a right to a place in the community and have contributions to make to community. This is as empowered, free citizens who are as valued, present, participating and welcomed as members of any dynamic and diverse society.
* The place of people with disability in the community is not just about people with disability having a house in the community. Core to this is that they are welcomed in the community as ordinary citizens where they are genuinely given opportunities to contribute and actively participate. People with disability need to be in communities where their individuality, their talents, and their lived experiences of disability are recognised and acknowledged.
* Culturally and historically, people with disability are not afforded the same value, opportunities or access to community life.
* Any inclusion in community for people with disability is conditional and vulnerable to withdrawal.
* Many people with disability in Queensland are excluded from the most basic experiences of ordinary lives.
* Current exclusionary practices are unacceptable and must be challenged.
* These issues affect not only people with disability but the whole community.
* The responsibility is shared. It lies within government (federal, state, and local) and the community at large, to ensure that people with disability have a place and are resourced to belong in community.

### **Introduction**

QDN welcomes the opportunity to make a submission in relation to the Discussion Paper *“Preliminary Consultation: Implementing the Hear Her Voice reports”*.

More than 4.4 million people in Australia have a disability and 900,000 Queenslanders with disability. This equates to almost one in five Australians. It is broadly acknowledged that people with disability face risks, barriers and impacts in relation to many areas of their lives. This relates not only to their health and disability needs but is also impacted by individual and contextual factors such as age, gender, socio-economic status, family environment, where someone lives, whether they are Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander and whether they are from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds.

It is now well known that compared to women without disability, women with disability experience significantly higher levels of all forms of violence, more intensely and frequently. Their experiences of violence last longer[[1]](#footnote-2); more severe injuries result; women with disability are far less likely to receive service support to address violence; they are often not believed when reporting sexual assault and other forms of violence; they are often denied the right to legal capacity[[2]](#footnote-3) and effective access to justice[[3]](#footnote-4); and they have considerably fewer pathways to safety[[4]](#footnote-5).

The legal definition of DFV varies across states and territories of Australia and most do not contain definitions which do justice to, nor encompass, the range of domestic/family settings in which women with disability may live. Women with disability often living in ‘domestic’ relationships that included shared living arrangements where they live with the same four people for four decades without change. This needs to be considered and acknowledged as we move forward. Nor do they contain definitions which capture the range of relationships and various dimensions and experiences of DFV and GBV as experienced by people with disability, (particularly women with disability)[[5]](#footnote-6).

17.8% of females in Australia have a disability[[6]](#footnote-7). Women with disability are almost twice as likely as women with disability to have experienced physical or sexual violence by a cohabitating partner over a 12-month period (ABS, 2021)[[7]](#footnote-8). The chances of emotional abuse by a cohabitating partner are also significant increased with 6.3% compared with 4.1%[[8]](#footnote-9).

Other forms of violence experienced by women with disability include forced sterilisation, seclusion and restrictive practices as well as domestic violence in institutional and service settings including residential care and aged care facilities and services offered through the NDIS, such as group homes for example[[9]](#footnote-10).

QDN welcomes the introduction of this review and the acknowledgement of coercive control and its impacts on women experiencing domestic and family violence (DFV) and gender-based violence (GBV). QDN believes coercive control and the elements of violence that it encompasses (emotional and psychological abuse, creating environments of dependence, asserting excessive control, to name a few), encapsulates the context in which women with disability experience DFV and GBV. Coercive control needs to be considered within the context of power and control. It is importantly recognized as a significant risk factor in assessing risk and predictive behaviours and needs highly weighted in assessing individual risk by all frontline workers across police, courts, domestic and family violence services, health and disability. However, QDN believes that more work, research and consideration needs to be undertaken into this and the potential consequences on not only women and children as victims of domestic and family violence but also the systems that are in place to protect them and keep them safe.

QDN acknowledges that for women with disability who experience DFV and GBV there are additional layers and complexity which are related to the fact that many women are predominantly reliant on the perpetrator of that violence for their everyday care and support. QDN also acknowledges that women with disability generally have fewer pathways to safety with first responders across police, courts and domestic violence services lacking physical access, accessible[[10]](#endnote-2) information and the specialist knowledge of how to support a woman with disability experiencing violence. For women with disability, this also intersects with a range of services and supports around her disability needs which may include the National Disability Insurance Scheme (NDIS) or other disability and community supports.

QDN supports the position that there needs to be a range of measures, research, education and awareness put in place around coercive control before it is legislated. This includes addressing the current issues, barriers and challenges experienced by women, particularly women with disability who have experienced DFV or GBV when they access policing and court systems. The “Not Now Not Ever: Putting an End to Domestic and Family Violence” report contained one recommendation specifically focused on women with disability, recommendation 10. This recommendation stated that “the Queensland Government commissions a review to address the impact of domestic and family violence on people with disabilities” has been actioned and a report was developed by People with Disability Australia including consultations with people with disability, government, non-government sector and domestic and family violence services[[11]](#footnote-11). QDN participated in these consultations and has actively contributed to the three projects that have received $750,000 of total investment. However, QDN sees that these are initial actions to commence systemic work in this area and that this is just the beginning of the journey and a broad range of work and action is required to continue to drive change that will lead to changes for women with disability who experience DFV and GBV.

QDN supports that the current Domestic Violence legislation could be strengthened to include better definitions for coercive control and consent and sees that it is important that this includes controlling behaviours that are experienced by women with disability. QDN also supports greater education and awareness around coercive control consentbefore we move to legislate. This would include education focused on risk assessments that clearly identify risk factors and predictive behaviours to identify individual risk, increased risk of domestic homicide for the frontline workers across the service systems responsible for responding to women and children.

Education and awareness around coercive control also needs to focus on women so they can identify these behaviours and understand them in the context of domestic and family violence. Broader education and awareness is needed for the Queensland community, including children and young people around coercive control and consent. For women with disability, a lack of education contributes to already alarming statistics around the number of domestic and family violence instances that go unreported to Police.

Coercive control needs to be considered in the context in which DFV and GBV occurs and the gendered nature of power and control. QDN is concerned that the current proposal sees coercive control as a list of behaviours without seeing it in the full context of DFV. Currently, there are significant parts of the criminal code which are not being used by police to prosecute criminal behaviour occurring in the context of domestic and family violence. For example, strangulation, stalking, sexual violence and (now potentially coercive control) are all separate offences, but are also all behaviours that come under the umbrella of domestic and family violence. All of these behaviours need to be considered to properly assess risk in cases. Without adequate education about coercive control, parts of coercive control could be left out of Police statements as it may be considered to fall under other categories of domestic violence categories, for example, people may associate physical abuse separately from coercive control without knowing that it is included under the definition of coercive control.

QDN also believes any policy debate on legislating against coercive control needs to be underpinned by a contextual framework and thorough understanding of how women with disability and other marginalized, vulnerable groups of women experience violence, its causes and consequences. Furthermore, there needs to be a deeper understanding of intersectionality and violence and its impacts. For example, what are the additional issues to consider for an Aboriginal woman with disability living in remote Queensland experiencing violence of a coercive nature or women with disability from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds and women from LGBTIQ communities?

**Women with disability**

It is now well known that compared to women without disability, women with disability experience significantly higher levels of all forms of violence, more intensely and frequently. Their experiences of violence last longer[[12]](#footnote-12); more severe injuries result; women with disability are far less likely to receive service support to address violence; they are often not believed when reporting sexual assault and other forms of violence; they are often denied the right to legal capacity[[13]](#footnote-13) and effective access to justice[[14]](#footnote-14); and they have considerably fewer pathways to safety[[15]](#footnote-15).

The legal definition of DFV varies across states and territories of Australia and most do not contain definitions which do justice to, nor encompass, the range of domestic/family settings in which women with disability may live. Women with disability often living in ‘domestic’ relationships that included shared living arrangements where they live with the same four people for four decades without change. This needs to be considered and acknowledged as we move forward. Nor do they contain definitions which capture the range of relationships and various dimensions and experiences of DFV and GBV as experienced by people with disability, (particularly women with disability)[[16]](#footnote-16).

Research shows because women with disability’s experiences of violence may not fit contemporary definitions and understandings, that violence perpetrated against them often goes unidentified, unreported, un-investigated, inadequately investigated, or results in poor outcomes for the person concerned[[17]](#footnote-17). Traditional definitions of GBV and DFV do not reflect contemporary understandings of what constitutes violence against women with disability nor the complexities and the forms it can take, and the settings in which it can occurs. For example, violence that occurs in group home settings can be typically reframed as ‘challenging behaviour’, ‘abuse’ or ‘service incidents’, and the response tends to be one of ‘adopting behaviour management strategies’ or ‘staff disciplinary processes’ rather than involving outside scrutiny of police or other services and supports typically available to women without disability[[18]](#footnote-18).

Women with disability are often reluctant to disclose experiences of violence for justified reasons. A lack of support for women with disability to come forward about their experiences is visible when looking at the holistic context of the environment and systems in place around women with disability. Women with disability are living in a mostly inaccessible society, that serves to reinforce the marginalisation of women with disability by denying basic rights to education, safe and accessible housing, safe and respectful relationships and care arrangements and a society with higher incarceration rate for people with disability[[19]](#footnote-19). Some of the barriers that women with disability may have around disclosing can relate to fears of authority figures for women with specific disabilities, and/or can be the result of years of emotional and psychological abuse from people who have perpetrated violence against them, especially in the form of degrading and/or gaslighting about their disability[[20]](#footnote-20) . There can be instances of a person using violence controlling technology and communication methods of people experiencing violence, for example, technology items such as phones, communication assistance devices or tablets being taken away, this can also be an issues for women with physical disability, where their devices have been put in a place that they can’t physically access without support, for example, which can make it incredibly hard to even have a means to communicate experiences in the first place.

There are barriers for women with disability reporting their experiences of violence and abuse to Police. Along with attitudinal barriers from Police and the service and justice system of not being believed and being thought of as more likely to lie, there are communication barriers that can occur for women with intellectual, cognitive, social, learning and verbal and/or physical disabilities.

Other barriers to reporting and accessing help and support include[[21]](#footnote-21):

* Lack of access to buildings (for all types of disabilities)
* Police not identifying someone has having a disability and lacking the communication skills required to interview people with disability and/or having any uncomfortability around interviewing people with disability, particularly those with communication and support needs,
* people with disability being put in the same category as ‘children’ and being communicated to in this manner,
* face-to-face services not being offered to people with disability that require this type of support and vice-versa, technology specific support not being offered for people with disability who require this type of support
* Lack of awareness about disability
* Not always having communication supports available, for example, Auslan interpreters, communicative technology support and/or having the option for a support person or worker to be there and assist with communication where required
* Lack of access to disability-specific DFV/SV support, (over-reliance on WWILD in South-East Queensland)
* Lack of access to accessible crisis accommodation
* Information shared with people with disability not being accessible to them
* Lack of integration across services and institutions such as Police and courts
* Lack of disability awareness and expertise in existing Integrated Service Response Teams
* Lack of strategies in responding to people with disability experiencing and/or using violence in both individual service and integrated service responses
* Fear of information sharing in rural and remote areas with small communities
* Person using violence blocking access to services [[22]](#footnote-22)
* Lack of general, accessible and affordable transport options to appointments[[23]](#footnote-23)
* Fear of statutory removal of children after disclosure of domestic and family violence incidents[[24]](#footnote-24)

*“Only people with intellectual disability are considered as possible victim of violence, people with disability without intellectual disability has been treated as intellectual disability, adding additional trauma while dealing with police officers...law enforcement officers and legal representatives treat people with disability as stupid or child. They never expected highly educated people with disability can be a victim of DFV.”*

* *QDN member*

While for many years QDN and our members have advocated the systemic benefits to people with disability, the general community, governments and businesses of people with disability being involved in co-design [[25]](#footnote-25)of policies, programs and products from conception to evaluation stages, it is fair to say that traditionally women with disability have largely been excluded from policies, programs, services and measures to progress gender equality. There is very little data on the experience of violence, abuse, neglect and exploitation by specific groups of women and girls with disability, such as those who are Indigenous, those from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds, those who are migrants, refugees or asylum seekers, those who are lesbian, bisexual, non-binary, transgender or intersex, or those living in rural and remote communities[[26]](#footnote-26)

Women (and men) with disability face various barriers in the legal/ criminal justice system and are often over-represented in prisons as a result. Women are often not believed when reporting sexual assault and other forms of violence. They are often denied the right to legal capacity[[27]](#footnote-27) and effective access to justice Men’s behaviour change programs aren’t targeted at men with intellectual disability[[28]](#footnote-28) and there are not enough accessible programs available.

Women with disability also need additional support in navigating health and hospital settings when seeking examinations for violence, sexual assault and rape, which is often a requirement for filing police charges.

**Accessible Education**

QDN identifies that that a lot more resourcing needs to go into education. This needs to be targeted to both education for young people, adults and the community at large. It is QDN’s understanding that currently there are opt-in programs delivered to schools surrounding education around consent and respectful relationships. It is positive that Queensland have the LAMP program offered through True Relationships, which caters to young people with disability. However, QDN sees that consent and respectful relationships in the education system needs to be a compulsory part of education, with programs that are also accessible for and inclusive of students with disability. Having this education from a young age is the best way outside of education in the family home and other social contexts to ensure prevention of violence from the beginning. This is particularly pertinent for children who may be exposed to and/or experiencing violence in their household or other social/institutional contexts. Consent should also be a core teaching in sexual education in schools (in both the context of heterosexual and LGBTQA+ relationships) and delivered in accessible ways. These programs, resources and lessons should be co-designed with people with a diverse range of disabilities to support accessible delivery.

*‘I think the ultimate goal in education would be that people have the tools they need to not engage as a perpetrator, and to know the warning signs to keep themselves safe as much as they can. Maybe consent education is something that is offered as one support option to victim/survivours, and there’s accessible online and print resources available as well?’*

* QDN member

QDN commends the Taskforce for proposing ways to communicate with and educate the public around coercive control and consent such as having community education activities and providing the NSW family and relationships website as an example( [Make no doubt | NSW Government](https://www.nsw.gov.au/family-and-relationships/make-no-doubt)). However, although on this website there is the option to watch a video about the campaign, there are no obvious sections that provide Easy Read resources. It is important that any campaign around coercive control and consent includes people with disability and is accessible to a broad range of disabilities. When QDN reference ‘accessible’ information and/or formats, this includes Easy Read versions, audiovisuals, audio recordings for information/articles/any text documents, Auslan videos, ‘Say Less, Show More’ formats for all media files, braille for text and written documents as well as more video and visual formats. Campaigning also needs to acknowledge people with disability as well as have representation of people with disability to reinforce that domestic and sexual violence can happen for people with disability and that they are not excluded from the campaign messaging.

On top of a website that has information and videos around the consent laws as well as support services and contacts, we believe there should also be one for coercive control. We also believe that these campaigns around consent and coercive control should extend to emails, social media, free-to-air television, radio and other streaming services wherever possible (for example Spotify/Podcasts), as well as in workplaces, in the community through community events, primary, secondary and tertiary education settings (in age-appropriate ways) as well as accessible information in hardcopy and accessible formats as well as on televisions/radios, local health services, cafes, libraries, bars and other establishments/public structures that have space for information and/or posters.

**Systems Resourcing, Training and Awareness**

QDN believe it is crucial to ensure training for those within the legal, policing, justice and service systems surrounding coercive control and consent and how these issues are specifically experienced for people with disability, as well as what support is needed for people with disability and other marginalised groups.

QDN is concerned about the implications of coercive control legislation for women with disability, particularly women with intellectual disability as victims and how this may potentially used further as a form of coercive control against women to charge them as perpetrators and what this will mean for the system. QDN is also concerned about the different paid and unpaid caring relationships that people with disability experience that may include coercive control to respond and ‘manage’ the person with disability and how this intersects with restrictive practices.

QDN believes for the proposed coercive control legislation to be effective it would need to result in a range of changes on the ground for the policing and justice systems to ensure that first-responders to DFV (usually police) had a thorough working knowledge of the impacts of DFV and GBV on women with disability, communicating effectively and other vulnerable cohorts of women. This could necessitate specialist policing units to be established and trained and codesigned by experts with lived experience and working knowledge of the impacts of violence against women with disability and other vulnerable groups.

It is important that there is representation of people with disabilities within these roles within the service, policing and legal system wherever possible.

Co-design with people with disability as well as other marginalised groups is critical to legislation change.Whilst QDN agrees in principle with the idea of a specialised police force to deal with DFV related matters, QDN believes it is essential that such a police force has specific knowledge of the impacts of DFV and GBV on women with disability and other marginalised groups. QDN also notes there are specific differences between Queensland and the Scottish model discussed in the discussion paper. Scotland has no indigenous population and no large geographical spread as Queensland does. The Australian First Nations culture/ situation is unique and complex. The large distances and geographical layout of Queensland is very different to Scotland. In Scottish legislation, a defense to coercive control exists, where the behaviour is interpreted by the courts as being ‘in the best interests’ of the aggrieved, which runs the risk of perpetuating ableist beliefs about suvivour/victims and could be used by the respondent to justify their use of coercive control against a survivour/victim with disability. We believe that this defense should not be replicated in Australian legislation, as it could have disproportionate effects on survivour/victims with disability .

It’s important to raise that the experiences of women with disability often go unreported and often they do not wish to report their experiences to Police, so solutions outside of reporting to support women and children with disability also need to be more readily available. Member feedback has been that there is a lack of safe crisis accommodation options for DFV surviour/victims in general, despite being more risk at experiencing DFV, with most not having accessibility for women and children with disability For survivour/victims in supported accommodation/group home settings, accessing crisis accommodation is complex as it intersects with the NDIS system and victim/survivours often can’t access refuge in a timely manner, due to needing support plans altered to change supported accommodation. Some domestic and family violence refuges don’t accept support workers being on site or the site might be too far away from a support person [[29]](#footnote-29). If something like a diversion program is part of the model moving forward, it is important that crisis accommodation can be sought for those needing protection. Whilst funding for programs to stop people from using violence need to be funded, this can’t be at the expense of, or instead of safe, accessible crisis and longer-term accommodation and housing for women and children experiencing violence.

Specific feedback from QDN member relates to this experience,

*“I contacted domestic violence crisis services who were unable to find crisis accommodation for my disability needs. When I asked the NDIS for support to change my plan to re-locate, they said that Domestic Violence wasn’t related to the NDIS and I’ve had to go through the Administrative Appeals Tribunal to have re-location implemented in my plan.”*

* *QDN Member*

Member feedback around their dealings with Police in their experiences of domestic and family violence and sexual violence matters have often been negative.

*“After experiencing the violence, and reporting to Police they tried to come to my house at 2am, however, as I’m bed-ridden due to my disability I couldn’t let them in and I had already communicated to the Vulnerable Person’s Unit via email that the times my support worker was present with me so I could answer the door. The Police officers called me and I tried to explain the situation and they got angry and agitated, I was stressed and they told me to ‘tone down.’ They told me that they were going to source emergency accommodation and come back to help me, but they didn’t. I was terrified that he (person using violence) would come back and assault me. The best Police could do to protect me in my own home was to change my locks, however, I knew that he (person using violence) still had the capacity to break into the home regardless of the changed locks.*

* *QDN Member*

**Support outside of the justice system**

With any legislative change, there needs to be adequate support outside of the justice system for marginalised groups. Navigating this system can be incredibly difficult for people with disability to navigate, particularly on their own without sufficient support. Support to navigate the system is already limited for people with disability.

For people with disability, navigating the legal system, they will need accessible education/information about legislative changes and how this will affect them.

People with disability will also require choice in accessible legal support and representation with disability-informed lawyers through government/not-for-profit legal services, the option of intermediaries state-wide, as well as to be able to have a support person, such as a support worker, for example, enter the courtroom with them.

The burden of proof on survivours/victims has been historically high[[30]](#footnote-30), particularly for women with disability who are often not believed or seen as credible witnesses of the violence that has been perpetrated against them. In a recent online article[[31]](#footnote-31), Nicole Lee speaks of the vulnerability of marginalized women:

*“For me, this is where gender, disability and the stigma that surrounds us collide. It is where disabled voices are dismissed, and complex mental health is used against us. It’s not just what’s expressed within community attitudes, it’s also embedded within the very structures that have harmed us”.*

There also needs to be ways of giving evidence that are accessible, for example, depending on disability, some people may not have the capacity to provide written, video/audio evidence and/or verbal evidence, so services, courts and the policing systems could have other ways of gathering evidence that consider the needs of people with disability in a case-by-case basis. This can be done through ascertaining preferred methods of communication and certain situations may require a support person to attend alongside a person with disability and/or a disability-informed lawyer.

*“Legal Aid does not give advice by email, but face to face and phone only. They will not provide services to those who cannot leave home due to disability and cannot use the telephone for communication.”*

* *QDN Member*

QDN strongly asserts that if coercive control legislation is passed much work will need to occur in the lead-up to ensure women with disability understand the behaviours are unlawful, that they have the assistance required in relation to reporting incidences and going to court. Furthermore, it is important to consider how orders will be policed and acted upon and what pressure will sit with women versus the police. This will also require ensuring women with disability have access to specialist services as well as well-resourced disability advocacy services.

The current gaps in the service system between domestic and family violence services and disability services has been something that members have raised concerns around. Services can often work in silos, and integrated service delivery is required where people can’t access disability-specific domestic and family violence/sexual violence support. There is currently only one disability-specific domestic and family violence/sexual violence service in the Southeast Queensland Catchment (WWILD), which further highlights systemic gaps in having adequate services for women and children experiencing domestic and family violence/sexual violence. As mentioned before, women with disability will often require advocacy and support to come forward with experiences, as has been acknowledged more broadly in the consultation paper with reference to allowing disclosures from support people of the aggrieved. There are likely to be many cases where incidents have gone unreported due to lack of understanding of people with disability.

*‘DV Connect and other FDV services are not disability aware. We cannot have appropriate support/service. Yet we are told to contact them over and over.’*

* *QDN Member*

**Enabling survivours to speak out about experiences of violence**

QDN are supportive of enabling survivours, including survivours of childhood sexual abuse to speak out about their experiences without being charged for it, as highlighted by the Taskforce’s recommendations within the consultation paper, however safeguards must be in place for minors and adults who may not understand the consequences of talking with media as well as the requirement of having a health professional sign off for children, people with an intellectual disability, people currently suffering from a mental health disorder or are in mental health crisis, prior to the act of speaking out.

**Protections for adults with disability being cared for**

Some adults with disability who have care and support needs and reside with and/or rely on the support of a parent/guardian/caregiver may require legal protections under the legislation similar to those that children have, most pertinently, having the ability to be listed as a ‘protected person’ under a Domestic Violence Order.

**Support for people experiencing and using violence**

In the consultation paper, there is reference to a diversion program for people who have perpetrated violence, and it’s proposed that a person who has breached a domestic violence order for the first time will not be charged, however, often domestic violence breaches go unreported for a multitude of reasons[[32]](#footnote-32). A 2021 inquiry also found that in Queensland, only 20% of cases where a Domestic Violence Order is breached results in a respondent being charged[[33]](#footnote-33). QDN is concerned about the level of risk this could pose if there aren’t adequate protections in place for survivour/victims of violence.

Whilst QDN understand the diversion program could assist in the over criminalisation and/or incarceration of people with disability as well as Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander peoples, this also must be aided in other culturally appropriate and disability-specific preventative strategies and supports.

Although the consultation paper mentions that a diversion order can only be made ‘if the court is satisfied that there is an appropriate approved program or approved counselling in which the defendant-perpetrator can commence immediately or within reasonable time’ feedback from members highlights that often there are long waitlists for any type of counselling program, particularly Men’s Behavioural Change Programs, which often aren’t accessible for men with disability. It would be useful to know what the court deems to be an ‘appropriate program’ and timeframe, what would happen if they couldn’t find an ‘appropriate program’ and whether an ouster order would be taken out in certain cases, ordering for the person perpetrating violence to live elsewhere. From feedback and experience of Queensland implementation of integrated responses to DFV, Men’s Behaviour Change Programs have been proven in being effective in an integrated service response, by having women and children experiencing violence as well as men perpetrating violence come to the attention of services, so there is more monitoring of behaviour, even if the person involved in the program is not taking steps to address and/or change their behaviour.[[34]](#footnote-34)

Men with disability may be excluded from Men’s Behaviour Change Programs if facilitators lack understanding of how to make the content and experience of the program accessible to men with cognitive, social, verbal, learning and intellectual disabilities. They may also be turned away if in need of an Auslan interpreter or other linguistic interpreter as services could deem this as being inappropriate based on confidentiality policy, to have someone who is not a program participant bear witness to the experiences of others in the group. There is similarly a gap in one-on-one counselling for men that is disability informed/specific and/or accessible to them. Information and resources for men with disability using violence are often not available in accessible formats.

QDN sees further research needs to be done in the instance of a carer using violence towards a person being cared for or a person being cared for who is using violence against their carer. For example, if a carer is going to be involved in a diversion program for their violence, looking at who is going to be supporting a person with disability in that instance and how this will be arranged and whether an order would be taken out on a carer to reside somewhere else in the meantime is important. People with disability can be dependent on their carer for a number of different important needs, so it’s important there is safe and timely support to ensure those needs are being met. Similarly, for someone using violence against their carer who could be involved in a diversion program, it would be important to look at who is going to be providing support to a person with disability, where they are going to reside and whether an order would be taken out against them to reside somewhere else if they were previously residing with their carer. Whilst having a disability doesn’t justify using violence, people with disability need accessible, disability-informed support to cease using violent behaviours, but at the same time can also require support with care needs, which needs to be considered in this context with practical, accessible and safe housing and care solutions if they are completing the diversion program.

In circumstances where women and children are escaping DFV who have disability support needs, the interface with NDIS needs to be considered. From QDN’s experience in working in NDIS access over the past eight years, the barriers to timely access to supports under the NDIS is a challenge when there is an immediate need/crisis for women with disability escaping domestic and family violence. Access to NDIS assessments as well as approvals of NDIS assessments and applications are often delayed. Innovative programs for NDIS access such as QDN’s Targeted Outreach Program and the ART program, for example, have demonstrated how this approach can assist people navigtating these systems.

The ways in which the program would work are important to ascertain to understand whether it would be effective, equitable and safe for people with disability.

**Inclusive Definition of Coercive Control within the Legislation**

There needs to be recognition of the more subtle types of violence often experienced by women with disability at the hands of intimate partners or service providers, such as deliberately leaving a woman’s assistive technology (such as a power wheelchair) off the charger and therefore restricting her freedom of movement, abusing a woman’s assistance animal, rough handling of body parts during intimate personal care, over-medicating a woman with psycho-social disability to keep her “compliant”, deliberately belittling a woman with intellectual disability so she feels unsure of herself and becomes more dependent on her abuser[[35]](#footnote-35). There are also service practices such as restrictive practices[[36]](#footnote-36) and arbitrary service decisions based on workplace health and safety[[37]](#footnote-37) that need to be further examined to fully understand the impact of domestic violence and GBV on women with disability.

Information about what coercive control is needs to be accessible for people with disability and any campaigns around coercive control also need to be accessible for everyone. This definition will need to clear for people with intellectual, cognitive and learning disabilities and avoid overly academic and/or abstract terms to explain the behaviours. WWILD have aserted that,

“Words should be in dark, large, simple font on light background.Terms such as ‘gas lighting’ or ‘manipulation’ are very abstract terms and are difficult for people with intellectual disability to understand.”[[38]](#footnote-38)

QDN agree with WWILD’s position of having “a nation-wide conceptual framework and definition of coercive control, that is fit for purpose across jurisdictions, industries, and sectors.” [[39]](#footnote-39) Having this can assist in preventing survivour/victims from being misidentified as using coercive control.

Whilst the Domestic and Family Violence Protection Act (2012), includes references to ‘informal carers’ it doesn’t appear to mention people with disability in relation to the definition of ‘informal carer’. Members have reported a lack of understanding from domestic and family violence services, Police and courts around carer violence. This kind of violence can happen either from the carer to the person being cared for (more predominantly), or at times, vice-versa from the person being cared for to the carer. This type of violence could be recognised in more depth within legislation.

Having a definition that encompasses all understandings of the term ‘carer’ as well as acknowledging the specific types of violence that people (predominantly women and children) with disability can experience (as mentioned above) by intimate partners, carers, in group home settings and by family members will assist Magistrates to deliver legal decisions that consider the dynamics of violence as they happen for people with disability. It will also assist Police and domestic and family/sexual violence services in working with women and children with disability and ensuring they have can access safety and support when the violence can often fall outside of rigid definitions they use for eligibility, such as ‘intimate partner’ or ‘family’ violence. This will ultimately assist in protecting the safety and wellbeing of people with disability and ensure that their voices are heard.

**Defining consent for people with disability – the importance of protection, justice and agency**

It is critical that people with disability are given the choice to report sexual violence and are supported to access justice where sexual violence has been perpetrated against them. QDN are supportive of an affirmative model of consent, however, more needs to be done around understanding consent and lack thereof for people with disability.

There are other places such as institutional settings and healthcare settings (such as through support work, for example) where agency and autonomy is taken away from people with disability and often things are done to them that they don’t consent to, which in general, can support and uphold coercive control of people with disability.

*“I think two specific groups who will need support are people with learning disabilities and cognitive disabilities, and also people with any kind of high support needs – physical, social, etc. The reason I say the second group is that when you get daily care, I have spent lots of time in hospital around doctors, etc, there’s often a real lack of consent around how people treat you, and I think that easily transfers to coercive control and relationships.”*

* QDN member

Under Section 216 of the Queensland Criminal Code, it is currently an offence for a person to engage in sexual activity with a person who has an 'impairment of the mind'. For cases where there was consensual sexual activity, this legislation poses the risk that a person with disability in Queensland who may have the capacity to consent to sexual activity may be dismissed. This legislation is an example of ways in which laws are often controlling and undermining people with disability and their rights, by the very nature of dictating to people with disability what they have the capacity to do or not do. This legislation risks perpetuating stigmatising myths around people with disability not having sexual and romantic agency or desire (enter ref here)

A way that consent law and the legal system could be more inclusive of people with disability would be exploring and ascertaining through research, the different ways that people with disability (including looking at a wide range of learning, verbal, social, intellectual and cognitive disabilities) can consent to sexual activity, instead of assuming that they don’t have the capacity.

As mentioned in relation to coercive control cases, people with disability will also require choice in accessible legal support and representation with disability-informed lawyers through government/not-for-profit legal services, the option of intermediaries state-wide, as well as to be able to have a support person, such as a support worker, for example, enter the courtroom with them.

Through inquiry in the court, legal professionals and magistrates need to consider and ascertain the ways in which all individuals, but particularly individuals with verbal, learning, cognitive, social and or cognitive disabilities communicate consent. On the flipside, this type of information gathering is also important in ascertaining situations where a person with verbal, learning, cognitive, social and or cognitive disabilities doesn’t consent to sexual activity.

Whilst some people with disability can discuss their preferences, their wishes and decisions in a clear verbal or written way, others may also use sign language, body movement or technology to communicate, for example. Others may not pick up certain social cues, verbal communication or body language and movements for example.

*“Body language and facial expressions are mentioned somewhere in the report (Hear her Voice Report, Paper 2) or one of the videos as important ways to gauge consent, and I personally really dislike the ambiguity of that. People have different neurotypes and bodies and cultures. I feel like that really needs to be expanded out for education for neurodivergent people.”*

* QDN Member.

**Conclusion**

QDN is pleased to have this opportunity to present a perspective to the Taskforce on how women with disability are impacted by DFV, GBV and coercive control. Our submission highlights the unique contexts in which women with disability experience violence and the key challenges and considerations from a disability perspective in implementing coercive control and consent legislation. QDN welcomes the opportunity to meet with The Taskforce to discuss these and other challenges in ensuring that women with disability are protected by robust legislation and have access to the specialist services they need and have accessible paths to safety from violence.
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